

Semen DNA Repair Capacity in Indian Populations: Variability, Misconceptions, and Emerging Scientific Perspectives

Rahul Hajare

Sandip University Nashik India

*Corresponding author: Rahul Hajare, Sandip University Nashik India.

Received: March 30, 2026; Accepted: April 17, 2025; Published: April 30, 2026

Citation: Rahul Hajare (2026) Semen DNA Repair Capacity in Indian Populations: Variability, Misconceptions, and Emerging Scientific Perspectives. *Ken Joul Pharcol*, 9(1): 1-3.

Abstract

Sperm DNA integrity is a critical determinant of male fertility, influencing fertilization, embryo development, and pregnancy outcomes. While sperm DNA fragmentation has been extensively studied, the concept of semen DNA repair capacity remains underexplored, particularly in the context of diverse populations. This review critically examines the biological basis, variability, misconceptions, and emerging perspectives related to semen DNA repair capacity in Indian populations. Mature spermatozoa possess limited intrinsic DNA repair mechanisms due to chromatin condensation, with most repair activity occurring during spermatogenesis and post-fertilization via oocyte-mediated pathways, including base excision repair, nucleotide excision repair, and double-strand break repair. Evidence from Indian cohorts demonstrates considerable variability in sperm DNA integrity; however, this variation is primarily driven by environmental exposures, lifestyle factors, and socio-economic conditions rather than inherent genetic deficiencies. Common misconceptions such as presumed population-specific impairment in DNA repair capacity and the irreversibility of sperm DNA damage are not supported by current scientific evidence. Instead, oxidative stress, driven by factors such as pollution, nutritional deficiencies, and lifestyle habits, emerges as a central contributor to DNA damage, with potential reversibility through targeted interventions. Clinically, the integration of sperm DNA integrity assessment into assisted reproductive technologies remains limited due to lack of standardized protocols. Emerging research in epigenetics, proteomics, and artificial intelligence offers promising avenues for advancing personalized reproductive medicine. In conclusion, semen DNA repair capacity in Indian populations reflects a multifactorial and modifiable phenomenon rather than an intrinsic biological limitation. Addressing misconceptions, improving diagnostic frameworks, and promoting preventive strategies are essential for optimizing male reproductive health outcomes and reducing stigma associated with infertility.

Keywords: Semen DNA Repair, Sperm DNA Fragmentation, Male Infertility, Oxidative Stress, Indian Population

Introduction

Male reproductive health is increasingly recognized as a critical component of overall public health. Among the various determinants of male fertility, sperm DNA integrity has emerged as a key factor influencing fertilization, embryo development, and pregnancy outcomes. While considerable research has focused on sperm DNA fragmentation, the concept of semen DNA repair capacity remains less explored, particularly in diverse populations such as those in India. Semen DNA repair capacity refers to the ability of spermatozoa and the post-fertilization oocyte environment to recognize and repair DNA damage [1-4]. In recent years, misconceptions have arisen regarding inherent deficiencies or population-specific limitations in this capacity among Indian males. This summary critically examines existing evidence, clarifies misconceptions, and highlights biological variability and emerging scientific perspectives.

Biological Basis of Semen DNA Repair

Mature sperm cells possess highly condensed chromatin and limited cytoplasmic content, which restricts their intrinsic DNA repair machinery [5,6]. Most DNA repair activity occurs during earlier stages of spermatogenesis within the testes. Residual damage in sperm DNA is typically addressed after fertilization by the oocyte's robust repair systems.

Key Mechanisms Involved Include

Base excision repair (BER), Nucleotide excision repair (NER), Double-strand break repair pathways [7,8]. Thus, semen DNA repair capacity should not be viewed solely as a sperm-dependent process but rather as a combined function of male gamete quality and female oocyte repair competence.

Variability in Indian Populations

India's vast genetic diversity, environmental heterogeneity, and socio-economic disparities contribute to significant variability in reproductive health parameters [9,10]. Studies assessing sperm DNA fragmentation index (DFI) in Indian men show a wide range of values influenced by multiple factors: Genetic diversity:

India comprises numerous ethnic groups with distinct genetic backgrounds, which may influence susceptibility to DNA damage. Environmental exposure: Air pollution, pesticide exposure, and occupational hazards are prevalent in certain regions and are known to induce oxidative stress. Lifestyle factors: Smoking, alcohol consumption, poor diet, obesity, and stress contribute significantly to sperm DNA damage. Healthcare access: Variability in awareness and access to reproductive healthcare affects early diagnosis and intervention. Importantly, there is no conclusive evidence to suggest that Indian populations inherently possess reduced semen DNA repair capacity compared to global populations. Observed differences are largely attributable to modifiable external factors rather than intrinsic biological deficiencies.

Common Misconceptions

Several misconceptions have emerged in both scientific discourse and public perception:

Myth: Indian men have inherently poor sperm DNA repair capacity. **Reality:** There is insufficient evidence supporting population-specific biological inferiority. Variations are multifactorial and globally observed [11,12].

Myth: High DNA fragmentation directly reflects defective repair mechanisms. **Reality:** DNA fragmentation often results from oxidative stress and environmental exposures rather than primary defects in repair pathways.

Myth: Semen DNA damage is irreversible. **Reality:** Interventions such as antioxidant therapy, lifestyle modification, and improved clinical management can significantly reduce DNA damage.

Myth: Sperm alone determines reproductive success. **Reality:** The oocyte plays a crucial role in repairing sperm DNA damage post-fertilization. Addressing these misconceptions is essential for improving clinical decision-making and reducing stigma associated with male infertility [13,14].

Environmental and Lifestyle Determinants

A growing body of evidence highlights the role of oxidative stress as a major contributor to sperm DNA damage. In the Indian context, several risk factors are particularly relevant:

Air pollution: Exposure to particulate matter and industrial pollutants has been linked to increased oxidative DNA damage [15,16].

Agricultural Chemicals: Pesticides and fertilizers used in rural settings may disrupt spermatogenesis.

Nutritional Deficiencies: Diets lacking antioxidants (e.g., vitamins C and E, zinc, selenium) impair the body's ability to counteract oxidative stress.

Urban Stressors: Sedentary lifestyle, obesity, and psychological stress further exacerbate reproductive health issues. These factors underscore that semen DNA integrity is highly sensitive to environmental and lifestyle conditions rather than fixed genetic determinants [17,18].

Clinical Implications

Assessment of sperm DNA integrity is becoming increasingly relevant in assisted reproductive technologies (ART), including IVF and ICSI. However, routine evaluation of DNA repair capacity is still limited due to: Lack of standardized diagnostic assays, Variability in interpretation of DNA fragmentation tests, Limited integration into clinical guidelines [19,20]. In India, expanding access to advanced diagnostic tools and incorporating DNA integrity assessments into fertility evaluation could improve treatment outcomes. Additionally, counseling patients regarding modifiable risk factors remains a cornerstone of clinical management.

Emerging Research and Future Directions

Recent advances in reproductive biology and molecular medicine

offer promising avenues for understanding semen DNA repair: Epigenetic studies: Investigating how environmental factors influence gene expression related to DNA repair pathways.

Proteomics and Genomics: Identifying biomarkers associated with sperm quality and repair efficiency. Artificial intelligence (AI): Enhancing sperm selection techniques in ART. Personalized medicine: Tailoring interventions based on individual genetic and environmental profiles. India's growing biomedical research infrastructure and large population base provide a unique opportunity for large-scale, population-specific studies.

Conclusion

Semen DNA repair capacity in Indian populations is characterized by significant variability influenced primarily by environmental, lifestyle, and socio-economic factors. There is no robust scientific evidence to support inherent deficiencies in DNA repair mechanisms among Indian men. Misconceptions surrounding this topic can lead to misinterpretation of clinical data and unnecessary stigma. A shift toward evidence-based understanding, improved diagnostic capabilities, and greater emphasis on preventive healthcare is essential. With increasing research investment and interdisciplinary collaboration, India is well-positioned to contribute meaningfully to global knowledge in reproductive health and male fertility.

References

1. Agarwal A, Majzoub A, Esteves SC, Ko E, Ramasamy R, et al. (2016) Clinical utility of sperm NA fragmentation testing: practice recommendations. *World J Mens Health* 34: 183-200.
2. Zini A, Sigman M (2009) Are tests of sperm DNA damage clinically useful? Pros and cons. *J Androl* 30: 219-229.
3. Sakkas D, Alvarez JG (2010) Sperm DNA fragmentation: mechanisms of origin, impact on reproductive outcome, and analysis. *Fertil Steril* 93: 1027-1036.
4. Aitken RJ, De Iuliis GN (2010) On the possible origins of DNA damage in human spermatozoa. *Mol Hum Reprod* 16: 3-13.
5. Sharma RK, Agarwal A (1996) Role of reactive oxygen species in male infertility. *Urology* 48: 835-850.
6. Evenson DP, Wixon R (2006) Clinical aspects of sperm DNA fragmentation detection and male infertility. *Ther Adv Urol* 1: 17-25.
7. Lewis SE, Aitken RJ (2005) DNA damage to spermatozoa has impacts on fertilization and pregnancy. *Cell Tissue Res* 322: 33-41.
8. Simon L, Brunborg G, Stevenson M, Lutton D, McManus J, et al. (2010) Clinical significance of sperm DNA damage in assisted reproduction outcome. *Hum Reprod* 25: 1594-1608.
9. Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (2013) The clinical utility of sperm DNA integrity testing. *Fertil Steril* 99: 673-677.
10. Esteves SC, Zini A, Coward RM, Evenson DP, Gosálvez J, et al. (2021) Sperm DNA fragmentation testing: summary evidence and clinical practice recommendations. *Andrologia* 53: e13874.
11. Agarwal A, Virk G, Ong C, Du Plessis SS (2014) Effect of oxidative stress on male reproduction. *World J Mens Health* 32: 1-17.
12. Tremellen K (2008) Oxidative stress and male infertility a clinical perspective. *Hum Reprod Update* 14: 243-258.
13. Henkel R, Maass G, Schuppe HC, Jung A, Schubert J, et al. (2005) Molecular aspects of declining sperm motility in older men. *Fertil Steril* 84: 1430-1437.
14. Barratt CL, Aitken RJ, Björndahl L (2010) Sperm DNA: organization, protection and vulnerability. *Nat Rev Urol* 7:

395-406.

15. Meseguer M, Santiso R, Garrido N, García-Herrero S, Remohí J, et al. (2011) Effect of sperm DNA fragmentation on pregnancy outcome depends on oocyte quality. *Fertil Steril*. 95: 124-128.
16. Menezo YJ, Russo G, Tosti E, El Mouatassim S, Benkhalifa M (2007) Expression profile of genes coding for DNA repair in human oocytes. *Biol Reprod* 76: 921-918.
17. World Health Organization (2021) WHO laboratory manual for the examination and processing of human semen. 6th ed. Geneva: WHO.
18. Kumar N, Singh AK (2015) Trends of male factor infertility, an important cause of infertility: a review. *J Hum Reprod Sci* 8: 191-196.
19. Dada R, Kumar M, Jesudasan R (2012) Epigenetics and its role in male infertility. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 29: 213-223.
20. Gosálvez J, López-Fernández C, Fernández JL, Gouraud A, Holt WV (2015) Relationships between sperm DNA fragmentation and oxidative stress in human spermatozoa. *Reprod Biomed Online* 30: 418-427.

Copyright: ©2026 Rahul Hajare. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.